

GOVERNING BODY COMMITTEE

Date of committee meeting: 17 July 2018

Agenda Item No: 12.2

TITLE OF REPORT	Alternative Provider of Medical Services (APMS) Procurement Contract Award.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:	<p>This report is to notify the Governing Body of the outcome of the APMS Procurement and the Contract Award.</p> <p>A report came to the Governing Body in May 2018 requesting that the Governing Body delegated the responsibility of the Contract Award for the APMS Procurement to a panel consisting of the Chief Officer and Primary Care Commissioning Committee (PCCC) members (PCCC Chair, Director of Primary Care and Integration, Director of Finance). The delegated panel convened on 4th June 2018 and the Contract Award was agreed and the recommendation to award the four contracts to Modality Partnership was approved. Standstill ended at Midnight on Monday 18th June and no challenges were received during this period.</p> <p>The Contract Award Report was constructed on behalf of Walsall CCG by Arden and GEM Commissioning Support Unit (CSU), who ran the procurement on behalf of the CCG. The contract award report summarises the procurement process undertaken, reports the results and gives a recommendation for the award of the contract.</p>
IMPLICATIONS	N/A
RECOMMENDATION TO THE COMMITTEE:	To note for information/update
CONFLICT OF INTEREST MANAGEMENT	No conflicts identified in the paper
COMMITTEE ACTION REQUIRED:	Information only
REPORT WRITTEN BY:	Jackie Bryan, Senior Commissioning Manager Raj Dosanj, Arden & GEM Commissioning Support Unit (Tender Award Report)
REPORT PRESENTED BY:	Mike Abel, Chair of Primary Care Commissioning Committee
REPORT SIGNED OFF BY:	Donna Macarthur, Director of Primary Care and Integration

PREVIOUS COMMITTEES, DISCUSSION OR CIRCULATION	Primary Care Commissioning Committee
---	--------------------------------------

Indicate the corporate objectives this report is linked to

Tick	Corporate Objective Summary	Cmt
	Developing the Walsall Together programme into a fully integrated care partnership supported by a new contractual arrangement from April 2019	CC
	Further development of GP involvement in the Walsall Together programme	PCCC
	To establish new commissioning arrangement for MH & LD in collaboration with the BC STP	CC/JCC
	To establish new commissioning arrangements for Acute services in collaboration with the BC STP	CC/JCC
	To maintain financial sustainability and ensure delivery of the QIPP programme (For 18/19 and plan for 19/20)	F&P
	To deliver the CCG quality and safety responsibilities to improve the incident reporting, assurance and ensure that robust Quality Assurance processes are in place for all commissioned services.	Q&S
	To ensure effective performance across the system to deliver the locally agreed targets –especially ones in the lower quartile	F&P
	To improve the communication and engagement with system partners, providers and GPs	GB
	Continuing organisational development of system and CCG leadership and capability to ensure ongoing resilience and effectiveness	A&G/GB
	Supporting the evolution of the Black Country STP towards a Black Country Integrated Care System	JCC/GB

All papers are subject to the Freedom of Information Act. All papers marked as 'in confidence, not for publication or dissemination' are sent securely to named individuals and they cannot be distributed further without the written permission of the Chair. Exemption 41, Information provided in confidence, applies.

**Tender Award Report
(Procurement Method: One stage
process - Light Touch Regime)**

**Recommendation Report
For the Provision of:
Alternative Provider Medical Services
(APMS) for
LOT 1 – Walsall Town
LOT 2 – Harden / Blakenall
LOT 3 – Keys Medical Practice
LOT 4 – Collingwood Family Practice**

**On behalf of:
NHS England Midlands & East (West
Midlands) and NHS Walsall CCG**

Report Prepared By: Raj Dosanjh

ITT : itt_940

Customer:	NHS England (West Midlands) & NHS Walsall CCG	
Region:	Midlands & East (West Midlands)	
NHS England Direct Commissioning Function:	Primary Care	
NHS England Secondary Commissioning Function:	If Other please detail below: APMS	
Commissioning Project Lead:	Name and Job Title:	Jackie Bryan
	Contact Number:	Tel: 01922 618388
	Email Address:	E: jacqueline.bryan@Walsall.nhs.uk
Senior Responsible Officer:	Name and Job Title:	Donna MacArthur
	Contact Number:	Tel: 01922 618388
	Email Address:	donna.macarthur@walsall.nhs.uk
Procurement Lead:	Name and Job Title:	Raj Dosanjh
	Contact Number:	07467 339 121
	Email Address:	raj.dosanjh@nhs.net
Procurement Reference No.	Itt_940	

Contents

Executive Summary

1. Purpose
2. Background
3. Project Governance
4. Procurement Process
5. Benefits Realisation
6. Recommendations
7. Notification of Outcome to Bidders

Appendices

- A. Evaluation Results and Award Criteria
- B. Regulation 84(1) Report – Contents Checklist

Recommendation Submitted By:

Name: Raj Dosanjh

Date: 21 May 2018

Executive Summary

This procurement is for an APMS contract to deliver Primary Care services for Walsall Town, Harden / Blakenall, Keys Medical Practice and Collingwood Family Practice based in Walsall for and on behalf of NHS England Midlands & East (West Midlands) and NHS Walsall CCG.

A business case (BC038) was submitted to NHS England's Commercial Executive Group (CEG) for a total contract duration of 10 years in line with NHS England's Standard Financial Instructions. CEG's approval was granted on 7 November 2017 to proceed with the procurement with a 10 year contract period. The Service commencement will be from 1 September 2018.

This procurement was conducted through the open process under the Light Touch Regime. 2 bids were received at ITT submission stage from Modality Partnership and The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust.

The bids were assessed on the basis of 95% quality and 5% price aligned to non-recurrent re-organisation costs. Modality Partnership scored the highest on quality across all LOTS and therefore it is recommended that the contract be awarded as follows:

LOT	Name of Successful Provider
LOT 1 – Walsall Town	Modality Partnership
LOT 2 – Harden / Blakenall	Modality Partnership
LOT 3 – Keys Medical Practice	Modality Partnership
LOT 4 – Collingwood Family Practice	Modality Partnership

Cash releasing demonstrated that a saving of £1,838,100 per annum and an estimated saving of £18,381,000 over the lifetime of the contract would be made.

An increase in high quality healthcare for patients is an important measure, as recognised in local STPs, and has the potential to reduce demand for health services. Therefore improvements in the service may avoid costs elsewhere in the health system. There will be an increase in consistency of service, improving productivity and quality output to patients.

1.0 Purpose

The purpose of this report is to summarise the procurement process undertaken and report the results with a recommendation for the award of the contract.

2.0 Background and Context to the Procurement

2.1 Arden & Greater East Midlands Commissioning Support Unit (AGCSU) on behalf of NHS Walsall CCG invited suitably qualified providers to deliver APMS Services to GP Practices in Walsall.

The Services are currently delivered by The Practice Surgeries and Intrahealth (Ltd.), the current contract ends on 31 August 2018.

2.2 Objectives of the Procurement

The key objective of the procurement is to commission Alternative Provider Medical Services (APMS) Services for each LOT to serve the areas within the Practice boundaries within Walsall.

2.3 Scope of Services

The full scope of the services is detailed within the Service Specification.

2.4 Note the existing contractual arrangements (if any) and existing costs.

The current service provision is delivered by the following Service Providers aligned to the contract value as stated below:

LOT	Current Provider	Existing Costs	New Contract costs	Variance £
LOT 1 – Walsall Town	TPG/Intrahealth	15,267,000	9,860,000	(5,407,000)
LOT 2 – Harden / Blakenall	TPG	22,692,000	15,440,000	(7,252,000)
LOT 3 – Keys Medical Practice	TPG	8,528,000	5,350,000	(3,178,000)
LOT 4 – Collingwood Family Practice	TPG	7,894,000	5,350,000	(2,544,000)
TOTAL		54,381,000	£36,000,000	(18,381,000)

2.5 Public Consultation / Patient Engagement

NHS Walsall CCG undertook an independent formal public consultation with patients from the Practices before the procurement process commenced. This public consultation was an initial engagement with patients to understand what it is they liked about the practice and the patient experience they receive.

The consultation took place between 26 July 2017 and 6 September 2017 for a total period of 6 weeks. Four public consultation events were held across the four areas, articles were published in the local press and a presentation was delivered to the local PPG chairs meeting. The events had good attendance and over 1,000 patient surveys were returned. An overview of the consultation results is as below:

Table 2.5.1 – Overview of Patient Consultation Results

LOT	No of Respondents to the Consultation	Overview of Issues and Concerns Raised by the Patients
LOT 1 – Walsall Town	141	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parking • Getting to the practice • Space within the building • Being able to see a doctor of choice
LOT 2 – Harden / Blakenall	199	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No issues raised apart from concerns about closing Blakenall site.
LOT 3 – Keys Medical Practice	88	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Being able to see a doctor of choice • The location of the practice having an impact on the community.
LOT 4 – Collingwood Family Practice	150	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Capacity of the surrounding practices in terms of facilities • Enough GPs • Getting an appointment quickly

2.6 The Contract:

The contract of choice for the provision of the services is general Alternative Provider of Medical Services Contract with a period of **5 years** from service commencement with relevant clauses allowing for an agreed extension of up to but not exceeding a further **5 years**. Any extensions will be subject to a review of the delivery and performance of the contract.

Approval was granted from NHS England's Commercial Executive Group (CEG) on 7 November 2017 for the contract duration of 5 plus 5 in line with NHS England's Standard Financial Instructions.

The estimated Contract value at the outset of the procurement is as below:

Contract Year	LOT 1 – Walsall Town	LOT 2 – Harden / Blakenall	LOT 3 – Keys Medical Practice	LOT 4 – Collingwood Family Practice
Total Contract Value (Year 1 to Year 10)	9,860,000	15,440,000	5,350,000	5,350,000

3.0 Project Governance:

Contract award will be progressed by the Commissioner once sign off has been obtained from the Primary Care Commissioning Committee (PCCC) to award the contract which is scheduled for 4 June 2018.

4.0 Procurement Process

4.1 The project was procured under the open process/light touch regime as agreed at the Project Brief stage.

4.2 The procurement timeline for this tender was as follows:-

Milestones	Date
Invitation to Tender (ITT) published	13/03/2018
Deadline for receipt of ITT submissions from Bidders	At 10.00am 20/04/2018
Evaluation Period for evaluating ITT submissions	20/04/2018 08/06/2018
Bidder Interviews	21/05/2018
Preferred Bidder announced and ten day standstill period commences.	4/06/2018
Advise Preferred Bidder(s) of completion of standstill period	15/06/2018
Contract award	16/06/2018 onwards
Service commencement	01/09/2018

4.3 The contract was publicly advertised on the following websites:

[Official Journal of the European Union Notice
http://ted.europa.eu/TED/notice/udl?uri=TED:NOTICE:115330-2018:TEXT:EN:HTML](http://ted.europa.eu/TED/notice/udl?uri=TED:NOTICE:115330-2018:TEXT:EN:HTML)

[Contracts Finder Notice
https://www.contractsfinder.service.gov.uk/Notice/49e2595a-1c69-49a0-9ce1-0668bc932a3f](https://www.contractsfinder.service.gov.uk/Notice/49e2595a-1c69-49a0-9ce1-0668bc932a3f)

- 4.4 Out of the 13 Expressions of Interest, 2 ITT submissions were received; these are highlighted in the table below:

Table 1: Expression of Interest for itt_940

Bidder Name	Response Status	Reason for Decline
Modality Partnership	Replied	N/A
The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust	Replied	N/A
Concordia Specialist Care Services Ltd	Bid not submitted for this tender	No reason provided
Group JS	Bid not submitted for this tender	No reason provided
Horsefair Practice Group	Bid not submitted for this tender	No reason provided
Inntabiz Ltd	Bid not submitted for this tender	No reason provided
IntraHealth Ltd	Bid not submitted for this tender	No reason provided
One Medicare Limited	Bid not submitted for this tender	No reason provided
Physiological Measurements Ltd	Bid not submitted for this tender	No reason provided
Spirit Healthcare Ltd	Bid not submitted for this tender	No reason provided
The Practice Surgeries Limited	Bid not submitted for this tender	<i>The financial envelope is not financially sustainable enough to deliver the APMS contractual requirements safely and effectively.</i>
Walsall Healthcare NHS Trust	Bid not submitted for this tender	No reason provided

- 4.5 Bids were assessed and evaluated in relation to specific requirements on the basis of *most economically advantageous tender* using a pre-determined methodology, as outlined below:

1. the overall quality has been assessed as 95% quality, with 5% aligned to non-recurrent re-organisation costs e.g. legal costs, staffing costs etc.
2. the fixed financial model cost (FMT) chosen to represent best value by the Commissioner was GMS rate + 5%, aligned to achievement of KPI's
3. Non-recurrent re-organisation costs were assessed as 5% according to the lowest cost and the ratio of the other Bidders costs related to this baseline. The Bidder submitting the lowest non-recurrent re-organisation costs will be awarded maximum 5 points, and the scores of all other bids being calculated in proportion to this lowest cost.

- 4.6 As part of the quality evaluation, bids were also assessed for financial sustainability together with the submitted financial model template (FMT) which was required to demonstrate the level of costs and the potential income based on the population and demographics of the practice. Furthermore Bidders also demonstrated what efficiencies they would put in place to support reduction in costs and improve quality over the life of the contract.
- 4.7 Bidders were required to meet 60% pass mark of the quality threshold. The Commissioner reserved the right to award to the highest scoring Bidder under the 60% threshold; approval was received from the Commissioner to proceed to award to the Bidder as outlined in Section 6.
- 4.8 The Evaluation panel comprised of the following people:-

Qualification Envelope		
Section	Evaluator	Organisation
Section A: Supplier Information	Raj Dosanjh	AGCSU
Section B: Bidding Model	Raj Dosanjh	AGCSU
Section C: Contact Details	Raj Dosanjh	AGCSU
Section D: Licensing and Registration	Katie Hayes Jackie Bryan Gary Arnold Alison Simmons Abdul Samad Mandy Beaumont (Nurse)	NHS Walsall CCG Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council
Section E: Economic and Financial Standing	Lorraine Gilbert	NHS Walsall CCG
Section F: Technical and Professional Ability	Jackie Bryan Tina Clarke	NHS Walsall CCG NHS England Midlands & East (West Midlands)
Section G: Insurance	Raj Dosanjh	AGCSU
Section H: Compliance with Equality Legislation	Steve Wright	AGCSU
Section I: Environmental Management	Raj Dosanjh	AGCSU
Section J: Health and Safety	Raj Dosanjh	AGCSU
Section K: Data Security / Information Governance	Serena Ellis Sara Saville	NHS Walsall CCG
Section L: Workforce	Bobbie Tooray Alice McGee	NHS Walsall CCG NHS Sandwell & West Birmingham CCG
Section M: Agreement to Principles of COSOP and TUPE	Bobbie Tooray Alice McGee	NHS Walsall CCG NHS Sandwell & West Birmingham CCG
Section N: Declarations	Raj Dosanjh	AGCSU
Section O: Service Specific Qualification Questions	Katie Hayes Serena Ellis Jackie Bryan	NHS Walsall CCG NHS England Midlands & East

Qualification Envelope		
Section	Evaluator	Organisation
	Tina Clarke	(West Midlands)
Opportunity Listing	Raj Dosanjh	AGCSU

Technical Envelope		
Section	Evaluator	Organisation
Section P: Workforce	Bobbie Tooray Alice McGee	NHS Walsall CCG NHS Sandwell & West Birmingham CCG
Section Q: Equality & Diversity & Human Rights	Steve Wright	AGCSU
Section R: Clinical Governance & Infection Control	Katie Hayes Andrew Colson (Nurse) Jackie Hayden (Nurse) Dr Nick Hall Mandy Beaumont (Nurse)	NHS Walsall CCG Walsall Metropolitan Borough Council
Section S: Patient Engagement	Ross Nicklin Simon Fogell	Healthwatch
Section T: Mobilisation	Jackie Bryan Dr Nick Hall	NHS Walsall CCG
Section U: Service Delivery	Jackie Bryan Dr Nick Hall Serena Ellis Sara Saville Andrew Colson (nurse) Jackie Hayden (nurse)	NHS Walsall CCG
Section V -Lot Related Questions	Jackie Bryan Dr Nick Hall	NHS Walsall CCG
Section W: Bidder Interviews	Jackie Bryan Mike Abel Dr Nick Hall Tina Clarke Ross Nicklin	NHS Walsall CCG NHS England Midlands & East (West Midlands) Healthwatch

Commercial Envelope		
Section	Evaluator	Organisation
Section X - Financial Sustainability & Efficiency	Lorraine Gilbert	NHS Walsall CCG

Conflict of Interest forms were completed and filed for each member of the evaluation panel.

Evaluation Guidance was issued to ALL evaluators, Award training was provided to all evaluation listed in the table above.

4.9 The results of the evaluation are summarised in **Appendix A**.

4.10 The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust achieved the following scores for each LOT and therefore failed to meet the 60% quality threshold.

The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust	LOT 1	LOT 2	LOT 3	LOT 4
TOTAL	58.00	56.40	57.24	57.19

5.0. Benefits Realisation

The anticipated benefits for this procurement are set out below:

Benefit type 1 - Cash Releasing

Cash releasing was not a priority above the benefit to be realised in this procurement is in terms of improved primary care service. The overall cost has been signed off as acceptable by the Head of Finance NHS England Midlands & East (West Midlands) and NHS Walsall CCG ratified by the Primary Care Commissioning Committee (PCCC).

The contract award will deliver recurring savings of approx. £1.84 million per year, which will result in total savings of £18.4 million over the 10 year contract term

Benefit type 2 – Cost Avoidance

Increase in high quality healthcare for patients is an important measure, as recognised in local STPs, and has the potential to reduce demand for health services. Therefore improvements in the service may avoid costs elsewhere in the health system.

Benefit Type 3 – Other

Increase in consistency of service, improving productivity and quality output to patients

6.0 Recommendations

That the contract be awarded to the following successful Bidder for the LOTs for the published contract value as outlined as below:

LOT Name	Name of Successful Provider	Contract Value £
LOT 1 – Walsall Town	Modality Partnership	£9,860,000
LOT 2 – Harden / Blakenall	Modality Partnership	£15,440,000
LOT 3 – Keys Medical Practice	Modality Partnership	£5,350,000
LOT 4 – Collingwood Family Practice	Modality Partnership	£5,350,000

As the bidder was ranked No.1 as shown in Appendix A and achieved the highest quality score.

7.0 Notification of Outcome to Bidders

Once the recommendation has been approved by the appropriate authorities all Bidders will be notified of the outcome of the procurement on 4 June 2018 and a 10 day standstill period will be adopted before the contract award is concluded.

Recommendation to Award approved by:

Name: Donna MacArthur

Signature:

Title: Director of Primary Care and Integration

Date:

APPENDIX A: EVALUATION RESULTS AND AWARD CRITERIA

Section	Scoring Criteria	The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust	Modality Partnership
Section A - Supplier Information	For Information	For Information	For Information
Section B - Bidding Model	For Information	For Information	For Information
Section C - Contact Details	For Information	For Information	For Information
Section D: Licensing and Registration	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section E: Economic and Financial Standing	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section F: Technical and Professional Ability	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section G: Insurance	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section H: Compliance with Equality Legislation	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section I: Environmental Management	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section J: Health and Safety	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section K: Data Security / Information Governance	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section L: Workforce	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section M: Agreement to Principles of COSOP and TUPE	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section N: Declarations	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass
Section O: Service Specific Qualification Questions	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass

Section	Weightings	Modality Partnership LOT 1	The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust LOT 1	Modality Partnership LOT 2	The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust LOT 2	Modality Partnership LOT 3	The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust LOT 3	Modality Partnership LOT 4	The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust LOT 4
Section P: Workforce	6	3.40	3.80	3.40	3.80	3.40	3.80	3.40	3.80
Section Q: Equality & Diversity & Human Rights	6	3.60	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.60	3.80	3.60	3.80
Section R: Clinical Governance & Infection Control	15	8.60	7.40	8.60	7.40	8.60	7.40	8.60	7.40
Section S: Patient Engagement	12	9.00	8.80	9.00	8.80	9.00	8.80	9.00	8.80
Section T: Mobilisation	7	5.00	3.40	5.00	3.40	5.00	3.40	5.00	3.40
Section U: Service Delivery	28	21.00	13.20	21.00	13.20	21.00	13.20	21.00	13.20
Section V -Lot Related Questions	11	7.80	5.00	7.80	5.00	7.80	5.00	7.80	5.00
Section W: Bidder Interviews	5	3.40	2.60	3.40	2.60	3.40	2.60	3.40	2.60
Section X: Financial Sustainability and Efficiency	10	9.15	10.00	9.20	8.40	9.20	9.24	9.20	9.19
	100	70.95	58.00	71.00	56.40	71.00	57.24	71.00	57.19

APPENDIX B: Regulation 84(1) Report – Contents Checklist

This Appendix has been completed to confirm compliance with section 84 of the Public Contracts Regulations 2016 and in the event that the Cabinet Office requests the information the information within this Appendix will be submitted as evidence of compliance.

Regulation	Data	Required	Included	If 'YES' please detail or indicate where this information can be located
84(1)(a)	Name and address of contracting authority	Yes	Yes	NHS England Midlands & East (West Midlands)
84(1)(a)	Subject-matter and value of the contract	Yes	Yes	OJEU Notice Contracts Finder
84(1)(b)	Names of candidates/tenderers passing any selection (PQQ) stage and the reasons for their selection	No	No	N/A – one stage process under LTR used
84(1)(b)	Names of candidates deselected following any selection (PQQ) stage and the reasons for their deselection	No	No	N/A – one stage process under LTR used
84(1)(b)	Names of bidders selected (following a “reduction of numbers” under Regulation 66), to continue to take part in a competitive with negotiation or competitive dialogue process, and the reasons for their selection	No	No	N/A – one stage process under LTR used
84(1)(b)	Names of bidders deselected (following a “reduction of numbers” under Regulation 66) from a competitive with negotiation or competitive dialogue process, and the reasons for their deselection	No	No	N/A – one stage process under LTR used
84(1)(c)	Reasons for rejection of any tender found to be abnormally low	No	No	N/A

Regulation	Data	Required	Included	If 'YES' please detail or indicate where this information can be located
84(1)(d)	Name of successful Bidder	Yes	Yes	Modality Partnership for all LOTs
84(1)(d)	Reasons why successful bid(s) was/were selected	Yes	Yes	See 'Section 6 – Recommendations' above
84(1)(d)	Share of the contract/framework agreement that the successful bidder intends to sub-contract	No	No	N/A
84(1)(d)	Names of the main sub-contractors	No	No	N/A
84(1)(e)	Justification for use of competition with negotiation process or competitive dialogue process (see Regulation 26)	No	No	N/A
84(1)(f)	Justification for use of negotiated procedure without a notice (see Regulation 32)	No	No	N/A
84(1)(g)	Reasons why the contracting authority decided not to award the contract/framework agreement	No	No	N/A
84(1)(h)	Reasons why non-electronic means was used for submission of tenders	No	No	N/A
84(1)(i)	Details of conflicts of interest detected and measures taken to nullify these	Yes	Yes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. COI's were collated for ALL evaluators and project group members and reviewed by Commissioner who confirmed no COI's potential or perceived had been identified. 2. COI's accepted on Award by ALL evaluators, none identified.

Regulation	Data	Required	Included	If 'YES' please detail or indicate where this information can be located
				<p>3. All Bidders completed COI section of document 2 (Declarations) as part of their ITT submission. All reviewed by Commissioner who confirmed none had been identified.</p> <p>4. Where COIs were identified what measures were taken to nullify these.</p>
76(4)(b)(iii)	In a Light Touch regime process, where the contracting authority has chosen to depart from the process as originally stated in the procurement documents (in accordance with the conditions permitting this at Regulation 76(4)), this decision and the reasons behind it must be documented in compliance with Regulations 84(7) and (8)	Yes	Yes	N/A
58(9)	If not recorded elsewhere in the procurement documentation, an indication of the main reasons why the contracting authority considers there to be a justified case for requiring bidders to evidence turnover that is greater than the standard permitted maximum of twice the estimated contract value (for example, due to special risks attached to the nature of the works, services or supplies)			N/A
46(2)	If not recorded elsewhere in the procurement documentation, the main reasons for decision of the contracting authority not to subdivide the requirement into lots			N/A